



THE OPPOSITION PROBLEM

The 7 Flaws of The Opposition



GICANIRO I

THE OPPOSITION PROBLEM

-The 7 Flaws of the Opposition-

By

Gicaniro I

Dedicated to the land of a thousand hills, the heart of Africa, my Motherland, Rwanda.

Content Outline

Flaw #1.....Wrong Cause

Flaw #2.....The Blame Game

Flaw #3.....Misunderstanding The Government
Concept

Flaw #4.....Scare To Challenge Citizen Flaws

Flaw #5.....Careless About National Security

Flaw #6.....Change-The-Regime Syndrome

Flaw #7.....Selling Out

Introduction

Politicians form or join the opposition solely for power. In most cases, politicians who form or join the opposition are those who have fallen out with the government at some point. They then decide to step aside and fight back. But they don't fight for citizens, they fight for themselves.

Politicians join or form the opposition for three reasons;

- Difference in ideology
- means to power
- means for contention

In the first case, politicians who differ in ideology from the government decide to go against the government but claim to fight for the well-being of citizens.

In the second case, politicians want the same thing the government has 'political power'. Therefore, they decide to go against the government by forming or joining the opposition their utmost goal being to overthrow the government through elections or by other means necessary. They also claim to fight for the well-being of citizens.

In the third case, politicians decide to join or form the opposition because they've got a personal feud against the government or the leaders in power. Therefore, they decide to form or join the opposition as a way to leverage their contention against the government or leaders in power. They also claim to fight for the well-being of citizens.

Nevertheless, none of the above reasons truly has anything to do with the well-being of citizens. In all categories, those who form or join the opposition are without exception

interested in attaining power. Every politician in the opposition is above all else attracted to power and repelled from losing it. The well-being of citizens is simply a reasonable, politically correct pretext for politicians to advance their personal ambitions.

Citizens need practical help; they need seeds to sow; they need good health care services; they need opportunities to capitalize on their talents and abilities; they need favorable business environments to work in; they need fair and effective laws to maintain order and harmony. The opposition contributes nothing tangible to the realization of such needs other than talking about them.

Opposition politicians seldom become active in politics intending to struggle for the wellbeing of citizens. They are loyal first to their personal ambitions. Therefore, citizens shouldn't be confused or fooled that the politicians who form

or join the opposition are somewhat better and exceptional. It seldom happens.

Political parties or politicians who join or form the opposition tend to make citizens believe that they're the best alternative available. They make you believe that they're the road less traveled; they convince you to tread their path promising that it'll make all the difference. But that is not always the truth. History bears witness to politicians who ceased power only to become worse than the regime they replaced.

The role of the opposition should be that of support. Encouraging both citizens and the government to keep moving forward toward development. In case of fire, the opposition is expected to run with buckets of water, not paraffin. In case of conflict, the opposition is expected to encourage peace and order not incite more violence.

As mentioned earlier, their primary goal is attaining power, not the well-being of citizens. Don't let opposition politicians deceive you with their political eloquence. All power-wanna-haves are only interested in power.

The goal of this book is to open the eyes of citizens and to wake them up from the political illusions the opposition binds them with. Seldom is the opposition a viable alternative for citizens. But rather one for politicians interested in attaining power.

The opposition problem is the tendency of the opposition to make citizens believe that everything going wrong in the nation is caused by the government and leaders in power. They exclusively point out the imperfections of the government and leaders in power as the sole

cause of the problems haunting citizens. But is that true?

By demonizing the government and leaders in power, they create in citizens the need for an alternative and then immediately present themselves as the only perfect alternative. But are they?

The opposition problem is also the inability of opposition politicians to have a healthy philosophical and scientific perspective of what goes on in the nation. They stay at the surface of things and accuse the government and leaders in power of everything going wrong in the nation.

For example; the opposition believes that inequality is solely caused by unfair economic and political policies the government enacts. Even though their allegation holds some truth in it, it is certainly not the only cause and

probably not the major cause of inequality. People who retain more knowledge are more likely to succeed than their counterparts who lack the same curiosity to learn new information.

From this perspective, the opposition instead of blaming the government alone may as well encourage citizens to attain new knowledge and information since fortunately there are mechanisms in place for learning that are accessible and almost free like the internet, public libraries or even having a conversation with informed and knowledgeable people in the neighborhood.

There is no way the government is going to force every citizen to pick up a book from a public library and read it. There is no way the government is going to force citizens to hold enlightening conversations with their fellow

community members who are experienced in a certain field so that they may learn from them.

There is no way the government is going to force citizens to use the Internet for empowerment purposes. There is no way the government is going to dictate to parents how they should raise their children. If the government did it, the opposition would scream out loud accusing the government of dictatorship.

That's why the opposition ought to hold citizens accountable too.

As alluded to earlier, the opposition prefers to stay on the surface of things, instead of diving deep into citizens' cultures, mindsets, and belief systems to find out the root causes of their problems.

Some citizens' cultures and belief system causes them to behave in a certain way that is

detrimental to their well-being. When citizens' problems are caused by their unfavorable behaviors deeply rooted within their cultures and belief systems, there is literally nothing even a good Government can do about it without causing controversy.

The only possible solution, in that case, must not be blaming the government or leaders in power but inspiring and encouraging citizens to go back into their mindsets, belief systems, and cultures and dare to deal with the source of their weaknesses and flaws.

Citizens must understand that their nation is their home without which they're exposed to the same misery and stigma homeless people experience daily.

Thus, they should only wish to see their motherland grow plenty of crops; they should only wish to see adequate rain falling from the

skies; they should only wish to hear the sounds of shovels mixing cement to build classrooms; they should only wish to feel safe and happy in their communities; they should only wish to receive tourists who come in peace and to share joy; they should only wish for well-wishing neighboring nations; they should only wish to hear on radios and read on newspapers about the progress their country is making towards self-reliance and prosperity.

And if the opposition is not advocating for the same wish, then citizens must turn their back against them.

Therefore, citizens must demand opposition politicians to emerge carrying baskets of seeds not of grenades; to show up carrying sacks of cement not RPGs; to come carrying shovels, not machine guns; to arrive to set up businesses not to bomb them; to launch high ways and bridges not missiles; to come

accompanied by friends, not foreign economic hit-men.

The bias of the opposition tends to mislead citizens into believing that all their problems are caused by the government and leaders in power. And that by overthrowing the government all citizens' problems will vanish right away. This is one big lie. Citizens who fall for such deception make no effort to improve their personalities, minds, beliefs, and culture for their own well-being.

This book wants to open the eyes of citizens and raise the opposition problem to their awareness hoping that by knowing the problem they'll better be able to deal with it for their own good. This book also supports all good government efforts, encouraging leaders to keep up the good fight.

The opposition derives its credibility from pointing out the mistakes and shortcomings of the Government. If you remove the government, the opposition ceases to exist since the opposition is founded on that which it opposes.

Governments come and go yet problems remain. Even if those who were in the opposition yesterday took power today, most problems that existed yesterday continue to exist in the present.

History proves that in most cases former opposition politicians change nothing after attaining power and sometimes reverse the progress that had already been made even though they blamed everything going wrong on the former government.

The opposition problem is the inability of the opposition politician to find other reasons to base their credibility and significance other than capitalizing on the flaws of the government.

Anybody who points a finger at the Government or at the President presenting them as the sole plague haunting the nation is wrong and only intends to mislead citizens to advance their own ambitions. There is no way a government made up of a few hundred people can be the sole cause of all problems in the nation nor can it be possible that one man or woman called President can be the sole cause of all problems going on in the nation.

For example, religion has caused countless conflicts and problems around the world such as the Crusades, the Inquisitions, the Jihad wars, and its role in the 1994 Tutsi genocide.

Since most citizens belong to some religious sect, their daily livelihood is obviously influenced by religion to a large extent. Now, for a moment let us imagine what would happen if a given President having realized how religion affects citizens decided to act against it. Obviously, the majority of citizens defending their religious beliefs would retaliate and reject any attempts by such a President to reform religion to the advantage of citizens.

They would reject that leader's proposal right away -- reminding him that nothing can be added or removed from the Bible or Quran.

This example is proof that a President or Government cannot be the sole cause of all problems in the nation. There are always other factors beneath the surface at play. The failure of the opposition to see and address those other hidden factors that cause harm to the

nation is what this book refers to as 'the opposition problem'.

Citizens should be bold enough to bear bitter truth over sweet lies. Nothing can happen in the nation without the consent of citizens. Therefore, listen only to the voice that partially blames you for the wrong things going on around you so that you may have the motivation and courage to actually be the change you seek.

But if you form the habit of pointing fingers and blaming the government and the President for all the suffering going on in your life, the opposition will only use such fallacy to advance their personal ambitions at your peril.

This is not to say that the government should not be held accountable. Neither is it trying to shield Presidents from scrutiny. This book only intends to remind citizens that the country is

their home, and therefore should be careful whom they listen to.

Citizens should not allow the opposition to turn them into monsters. Demanding answers from the government has nothing to do with citizens turning to violence. Justice has nothing to do with unnecessary violence. Justice should be executed humanely at all times, for all people.

No situation should ever make citizens forego their humanity. An Opposition that cannot deal with the Government without getting violent will in the end use evil means to attain power at the peril of citizens. In addition to that, an opposition that incites citizens to cause destruction and unnecessary violence just to send a message to the government is dangerous and must be shunned by citizens.

Flaw #1

Wrong Cause

*“Many politicians see the
opposition as a shortcut to
power”*

The only right cause for wanting to become a leader of a people has to be a natural desire to advance and develop those people. Other than this, all other political causes are not right for people. They do more harm to citizens and the country. If a politician's primary goal is not to develop, protect, and sustain the country and its citizens, they are a danger to that country and those citizens. They must be slowed down, and if need be stopped halfway.

The psychology behind why people do what they do is so powerful in influencing them that it determines how they behave and what they prioritize. If a person goes into politics for power's sake, that is all they'll ever do. Seek and acquire more power every day. To such politicians, Citizens' well-being and development are merely an excuse they wave around to look for legitimate contenders for leadership.

Even though it is hard to tell who is in it for themselves, slowly people's actions reveal their true intentions. Politicians too, reveal their true ambitions with time through their actions. First, look at what they do as opposed to what they say. Anyone can say great things anytime. But doing great things takes tremendous effort, if those things are done for the good of others, it takes great sacrifice of time, energy, and resources. This way you can tell who is who among politicians. Who is working for the country's and citizens' interests, and who is working for their own benefits or foreign interests?

By measuring politicians' actions you gain a basis on which you can judge their character and true intentions. Good deeds are obvious to everybody – every eye can see something good, just like it can see something bad. Even when the actions are hypocritical, still ordinary people can tell. It is a natural gift humans have,

to tell the liar from the honest, the humble from the arrogant, the genuine from the fraud, the intelligent from the idiot, and the good from the bad.

It's true, you can tell what a politician's true ambitions are, and what they'll do once they acquire power. This is not hard to do, since most of the time, opposition politicians don't do much, other than talk.

Talking too much instead of doing much is the first sign citizens should heed. Politicians who want to help and support citizens talk less, and they do much. They don't say, *'I'll do this and this for you'*. They say *'I'm doing this and that for you'*. And best, *'I've done that for you'*.

If a politician's tangible contribution to their country is nonexistent, other than sweet and heroic talk, just know they are in it for themselves. Their cause for joining politics has nothing to do with citizens' well-being or the

development of the country. If they keep saying what should be done, when it should be done, and why, saying when it should be done and how it should be done while at the same time excluding themselves from the action, just know they serve their own interests.

Citizens must always want to know and find out why politicians join or form the opposition. Without understanding the core motifs of politicians in the opposition, they can only be subjected to political fraud and manipulation. Making them follow politicians they should not, and for the worst vote for politicians they should not.

Hope to acquire power is the only thing that holds the opposition together. 'We're next in line' they believe. Anyone who is not in the ruling government but wants to be in the ruling government but cannot be in the ruling government sees the opposition as the next best alternative. A shortcut to power.

The government though has an enormous responsibility to manage and govern the nation, its size is always small – its members are never more than a couple hundred tasked to govern thousands or millions of people. Politicians who fail to make it into this small group of government officials, use the opposition instead to shortlist themselves as potential candidates for an adjusted or new government. Without this belief and hope that they too will soon be replacements for the current government, not a single politician would remain in the opposition. The opposition would cease to exist. This by itself is wrong. A proof that the opposition often does not serve the greater good of citizens and the country. It means the opposition exists for power's sake. Period.

This is not what citizens of countries want, they pray for solutions every night. They hope to see their many problems solved. Even without any help, they go ahead and try to deal with their daily challenges. Therefore, the best opposition politicians can do is join the good fight with their

sleeves rolled up, not their mouths wide open. Positive action is what citizens and countries need, not sweet speeches. Not promissory notes.

Politicians join the opposition for many reasons, among them; revenge, personal ambition, employment opportunity, representing foreign interests, or an honest desire to provide an alternative voice to the government. Of all these reasons, the latter is the only right cause to form or join the opposition. Everything else is not good for citizens.

You'd be surprised to find out that some politicians join or form the opposition for revenge. Maybe one day, they met a government official who did something they didn't like, and from there they vowed to join the opposition against the entire government.

Others have vested business interests they want to protect, and joining the opposition is the best way they think will bring about such results.

Others are simply in it for employment opportunities. If people look for dangerous work in remote mines located in deep forests miles away from the city, why wouldn't they try to forge for themselves an employment opportunity in politics? For such people, if the government is not hiring, or won't hire them, then they'll simply join or form the opposition. The salary is good, not forgetting all the benefits that come with it.

Then comes the traitors working for foreign institutions or governments. Some people are recruited in secrecy by foreign agents to work and represent foreign governments or institutions. In developed countries, such opposition hiring can be done locally by a powerful corporation with the goal of placing their allies in key government positions that'll see their interests taken care of. These political placements can happen to both the opposition and the government in power. If the hired politician is a government employee, then they also belong to the opposition by default.

Meaning that it's not enough to be a member of the government. You;ve to be working in the interests of all citizens and the country, otherwise you are in opposition to their well-being and development. This is how deep you should understand the opposition.

Flaw #2

Pointing Fingers, The Blame Game

“Inability to Apply Scientific Methods
in Problem-Solving”

Any great country's development and sovereignty depend on the self-determination and capacity of citizens to create conditions and opportunities necessary for their own well-being. Thus, the tendency to point fingers is a fruitless behavior. It may feel good, but won't lead to desirable solutions. It's better to take the blame for everything going wrong in your country than resort to blaming others and expecting them to take all the hits.

What is needed is a citizen-based solution attitude which can only exist if citizens are willing to be accountable for their own daily contribution to their governance. With a balanced attitude, people focus on just two things; understanding the problem, and finding a sustainable solution as fast as possible.

On the contrary, the opposition does everything possible to make citizens believe their government is the root of all problems and that

the only thing that can save them is for the Opposition to replace that Government in power. Obviously, a tactic opposition politicians use to draw support and affection on their side.

Whenever the opposition begins pointing fingers at everybody else other than themselves, citizens should simply look away. A country's governance is every citizen's business. Thus, daily monitoring and evaluation of the performance of leaders, with courage enough to effectively exchange effective communication between citizens and their government can avert many national problems and generate viable solutions for many challenges at hand.

Rushing out bitter blame on the government when something goes wrong is political immaturity and scientifically unfair. Unfair because opposition politicians tend to operate

on insufficient raw information that is yet to be verified and processed for better presentation.

Often, the opposition does not bother to carry out extensive research to accurately study the livelihoods of citizens in order to identify and understand the causes of fundamental problems they face or might encounter along the way.

The opposition fails to set up multiple reliable sources of information so that they can be correctly and promptly informed. The only major source of information the opposition has is the media, these days social media works for them even better. They learn about what's going on in the nation through Facebook posts, WhatsApp groups, and spaces. They'll use the same platforms to play their opposition card about issues raised by users of those media accounts.

The opposition might never know about something until the media reports a story about it. They'll never know about a problem citizens have until things have gotten out of control. This is how inadequate the opposition is, and how narrow its operations are. They definitely lack mechanisms to promptly and systematically acquire information. And then to analyze through investigation, and discussion the information gathered before going out to the public.

Also, the Opposition doesn't have in place effective mechanisms through which they communicate and engage with citizens. You'll never hear about the Opposition conducting a survey or research to find out what's going on in a particular field of life or area in the country. They wait until the government or nongovernmental organizations publish their annual reports or surveys.

The opposition doesn't want to do the hard work. They lag behind and wait for the media and the government to find out what's going wrong or slow. They wait for them to collect information on the state of the country and then come out of hiding only to blame everyone else. It's always a talk show for them, and they love it.

Even after the Opposition has heard about something, they don't bother to go a step further to find out if what has been said or reported matches with the reality on the ground. They don't follow up to examine the whole process of how what is happening came to be and what should be the way forward. Therefore, citizens should demand the opposition to be more scientific with regard to how they conduct their opposition business. It's best they become active participants in the governance of their country, eventually replacing pointing fingers as a habit or a

political tactic with a scientific understanding of problems and a rational approach to finding sustainable solutions.

Besides that, opposition politicians are simply not credible enough to speak on some national issues, especially those that require prior qualifications. Personal opinions are not rocket science, they're just personal ideas based on personal experiences that could be totally irrelevant to the issue at hand.

Some national issues need specific qualifications and deeper understanding before one can make a mature judgment on them. Otherwise, politicians risk sounding childish and ignorant. If the politician lacks the necessary detailed information and the qualifications to make an informed opinion about a given national issue, it is best they seek guidance and education from experts first.

Before running to some radio talk show or TV show to share personal opinions on national matters, first show proof of credibility. Why should anyone take you seriously? Why are you the right person to talk about that issue? Otherwise, it's all chitchat, like the talk in a bar sipping on a bottle of whisky.

Calling yourself a politician doesn't make you an expert at anything. Neither does it give you the authority and credibility to voice your opinions on the national stage. Unless your goal is to mislead citizens. This is not a line against opposition politicians, it is a reminder that people's well-being, their lives, and survival are not a joke.

In addition to the above, opposition politicians lack insight into the underlying causes of national problems. More worrisome is the root cause of the problem. Yet the opposition only

sees the surface of the problem and they're unable to penetrate beneath to deal with the roots of the problem. The opposition stays at the surface of problems because it's easier and cheaper. If they dared go beneath the surface of problems to identify and study the underlying causes -- they'd realize they've no individual person to blame. They'd find out that pointing fingers only at the government in power is half the truth because the root cause of problems implicates not just a few people in the government but all stakeholders.

For example; let us assume country U had 90% unemployed citizens in 2020. The Opposition sees this problem and to them, there's only one cause for it, 'Government failure'. If they hear this piece of information on some radio foreign or local, they'll just run with it. They'll start sharing their opinions on the matter without first fact-checking the information, and without investigating to find

out other possible underlying causes. You'd be surprised but some political campaigns are simply sparked and started by a false rumor. All it needs is a couple of opposition politicians to run with it.

Still, on the above assumption, there are several underlying causes that could have caused such high unemployment rates. The covid-19 pandemic perhaps! A global pandemic that was happening in every country. What could any government have done differently? What could the opposition have done differently?

Take another random example; if a country has 30% of the population living under the poverty line, definitely opposition politicians will blame it all on the government. Still won't bother to find out underlying causes like unfavorable religious beliefs many people cling to. Some religious beliefs demoralize people from

working hard, some beliefs are so anti-wealth people who hold them think money is evil. Others believe this world is not their home. Such people won't perform well at work, their beliefs suck the energy of hard work out of them. Such people hate saving money, they hate investing because they believe earthly wealth is for sinners. What can any government possibly do about such people? And you'd be surprised to find out they make up about 10% of the 30%.

Remember governments are expected to respect citizens' freedom of worship and of course freedom of choice. But if most religious organizations only ask their followers to seek, pray, and fast that they may one day live in heaven – telling them little to nothing about living well on earth, what can governments do about it? It's a political dilemma.

Deduct the number of people whose religious beliefs are the fundamental cause of their misery from 50%, you could remain with 20%. By analyzing the root causes of problems, the numbers begin to disappear. The all-out blame on the government becomes unfair and baseless.

National problems are never the problem, but rather the root causes are what need attention and solutions. The Opposition has to learn to look at problems through the lens of their fundamental causes. They shouldn't just say: there are 30% million poor people in this country. They should rather seek to understand the reasons behind each poor person.

Generalizing problems is by itself a problem the opposition causes repeatedly. Assuming that all people are suffering the same things, in the same way, for similar reasons is scientifically wrong. Opposition politicians need

to look at citizens as individual human beings with varying strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats. And must take that into consideration when discussing national problems.

Still on poverty, its cause isn't always a failed economy, lack of access to finance, or other equally convincing factors opposition politicians might come up with. Sometimes, the root cause of problems in the nation can be traced to citizens' personal belief systems, attitudes, and choices.

This is why the opposition should dig deeper and unearth the causes of problems to better come up with sustainable solutions. Only then is the opposition ready to suggest practical, effective, and sustainable solutions to the government and leaders in power. And perhaps after attaining power, it can help them

formulate better development policies based on facts and scientific factors not just ideology.

Flaw #3

Misunderstanding The Government Concept

“The government doesn’t exist to
babysit citizens”

The opposition is greatly mistaken and confused about the role of the Government with regard to the leadership and governance of the nation. The Government is not supposed to breastfeed citizens. The government is not supposed to wake citizens up every morning, make them breakfast, and send them to work. It is not supposed to force them to have big dreams and big goals and be determined enough to strive to attain them.

If the government dared to do this, the opposition would call it communism, socialism, dictatorship, or colonization. Ordinary citizens wouldn't accept it either. They'd cry foul, '*How the hell does the government tell me how to live my life?*' '*what am I, a baby?*'.

Governments are not supposed to spoon-feed citizens. They're not supposed to hold the hand of citizens and drag them to their personal progress. They're not supposed to think for

citizens or to speak and act on behalf of citizens. The Government is not supposed to force citizens to pursue their dreams and force them to make them come true. This kind of leadership or governance cannot last a day. It'd face opposition from all corners of the world. Because even the UN says that people have a right to self-determination.

Imagine what would happen if one day the government knocked on your door, and told you, '*Due to the fact that you're behind on your bills, we're ordering you to add 2 more hours to your work schedule.*' *we think your earning less is caused by your working less*'. What would your response be? Certainly negative.

In leadership and governance, it is citizens who have a bigger role to play because whatever is done and must be done aims at satisfying their interests and needs. Therefore, the goal of leadership and governance is to serve the

pleasure of citizens. Citizens are the ones who determine what they want and need, how they want and need it, and when they want and need it. It is their civil duty, and out of bounds to the government.

In fact, whenever citizens sit back and do nothing, nothing happens. When citizens sit back and say nothing, nothing is said. When citizens sit back and take no step forward, no progress is made.

Leadership is not about the people at the front nor is governance about the politicians holding government offices. It's rather about citizens and the individual development journeys they've embarked on. The government only comes in to play a supporting role.

The role of the Government and leaders is supposed to be that of coordination and organization. They coordinate what is already

there; they organize what is already there. You should not expect governments or leaders to perform miracles or do magic or invent things citizens don't already possess in their abilities into existence.

Opposition politicians should always remember that the government does not exist to babysit citizens. Therefore, citizens must wake up each morning and strive to succeed in their various endeavors. Nonetheless, the opposition is free to encourage the government to keep playing the supporting role as best as possible alongside their own efforts. Yes, opposition politicians must as well practically play this supporting role and not just talk about issues.

Good leadership and governance encourage citizens to be independent. It inspires them to be self-reliant. It supports them to be able to do for themselves that which they want others to

do for them. On the contrary, the opposition mistakenly thinks the government should be there at every moment of the citizen's life, monitoring their every move and helping them up whenever they fall like toddlers.

Even citizens have developed this dependence mentality towards their governments and leaders. There exist citizens who believe that the government or leaders are their parents. But leaders cannot be parents to citizens. Only the children leaders gave birth to should call them dad or mommy. It is ridiculous when citizens refer to politicians as their parents. It shows great misunderstanding and confusion citizens have about the role of governments and leaders in their governance and leadership. It fuels the accusations the opposition always brings forth against the government.

Governments and leaders only exist to help citizens help themselves. They exist to steer the nation towards a destination determined by citizens. Otherwise, they'd be exhausted as human beings to be given the responsibility of taking care of their fellow citizens as if they were little children.

On the other hand, opposition politicians have yet to comprehend this fact. That it is hard for the government to do for citizens what contradicts their desires, beliefs, and mindset. At this point, what citizens really need besides a good government is many motivational speakers.

Instead of choosing to fight with the government, opposition politicians should rather go door to door if need be inspiring citizens to improve their mindset, beliefs, and life goals for the good of their shared country.

This is the primary work of civil society, individuals, and organizations who take it upon themselves to motivate and empower people besides the work of the government.

It is shocking to see opposition politicians focusing on pulling and pushing the government instead of rolling up their sleeves alongside the civil society and private sector contributing tangibly to the general well-being of citizens.

Negativity and cynicism, hatred, and backstabbing have no place in a country interested in sustainable and rapid development. The energy it takes to fight with each other within the nation takes away from the energy it takes to build and develop the nation. As long as the opposition is inflexible, uncompromising, unsupportive, reckless, and impatient countries reserve the right to slow

them down, pause their activities, and if need be stop them.

A backstabbing, insulting, and arrogant opposition can't be tolerated anywhere in this world, not even in heaven. Therefore, opposition politicians must choose the good fight, a fight for national development, and the peace, health, and wealth of citizens – adhering to the values of mutual understanding, collaboration, unity, teamwork, and progress.

Flaw #4

Scared To Challenge Citizens

“Citizens are flawed too”

A big part of what a good government does is determined by what citizens want. Another small part is derived from the ingenuity, talents, and patriotism of leaders but all for the good of the country. The government exists to coordinate all citizens within the nation and connect them with the world. Ensuring their security, peace, well-being, and development.

Liken the government to a command center, all deliberations depend on what is happening on the field. The government opens its doors to all feedback, reports, and data from the field which in this case are citizen communities across the country. Then the government allocates collected resources, policies, and support according to the urgency, and importance of the action needed by citizens in their communities.

Flipping the coin, Citizens equally share with the government duties with regard to governing, and leading the country through a suitable process of progress and development. Citizens are required by principle and law to perform their civil duties. Otherwise, the government will succumb to exhaustion. Citizens are not goats the government has to constantly pull towards development and wellbeing. They are rather intelligent human beings who already possess a desire to develop and live happily. Thus, a big part of national development is for citizens to implement.

Citizens are supposed to monitor and evaluate the functioning of their governments on a regular basis – analyzing the performance of politicians, the effectiveness of formulated policies, and the efficiency of their execution. And in case of any malpractices, they're

supposed to find out the cause and demand it to be fixed immediately.

The opposition seems not to understand this mutual relationship between governments and citizens. There's a positive correlation between how citizens behave in the republic and what governments do to them and for them. The opposition should see the importance of not blaming one side while ignoring an equally powerful side. That's why the opposition should call upon citizens to live up to their civil responsibilities and political duties as they do governments.

In politics, every stakeholder is a politician. Yet most citizens like to distance themselves from politics. Most believe it is a dirty game. If you asked 1000 random citizens whether they're politicians, 99% are more likely to decline. The sooner citizens can admit and embrace their political position in the republic and their

mutual partnership status with their governments; the better and faster things could work out for them.

The opposition's inability to see citizens' natural weaknesses and flaws makes them blame everything going wrong in the country on the government. Opposition politicians have a tendency to blame everything going wrong on the leaders in power, totally ignoring all personal flaws of citizens.

Normally a leader leads people who know where they are going. In normal street circumstances, it is somebody who wants to get to a certain destination approaches a leader and asks them for directions to where they want to arrive. Then the leader being able to direct that person goes in front and takes them there. At every step of the journey, the person behind is responsible for the success of that journey. If at any moment the leader in

front happens to take a path that obviously will not lead them where they want to arrive, the person behind must say 'no'. '*I'm not going south*'; '*I'm going north*'. The person who asked for direction at any point is still free to dismiss the leader in front. But this is only possible with somebody who knows exactly where they want to arrive at.

For citizens not knowing exactly what they want in life, and they how should get it is a political flaw you can't blame on the government. This is reflected by how they live their ordinary lives. There exist flaws on personal levels of every person be they citizens or leaders. Some people have tendencies of laziness. Other people have negative attitudes. Other people don't like teamwork. Others are simply reckless and go in life without caring about anything. There are other people who don't do what they are supposed to do, not because they've any

limitations but because they just don't want to. There exist all these personalities at the community level, district level, and national level. Therefore, to ignore all these human flaws and blame everything going wrong in the nation on the government is ridiculous, unfair, and undemocratic. After all, democracy is about citizens' self-determination. Why then do you blame every misery they face in their individual lives on the government of a handful of people? It's not scientifically possible.

There are teenagers who get unwanted pregnancies even though they come from wealthy families; there are children who live on the streets even though they come from wealthy families; there are students who fail to excel at school and others who drop out even though they went to expensive schools, and come from wealthy families; there are also drug addicts who come from wealth families.

Evidently, weakness at the family level creates numerous problems for the nation. Problems which the opposition will blame on the government. It's also clear that weakness on the personal and community level creates numerous problems for the nation. Take domestic violence, these are people hurting each other behind closed doors. No matter how the government tries to force and compel citizens to live well with each other, cases of violence domestic and relationship violence still persist. The danger of such citizen-to-cause violence is how far-reaching and destructive its impact is.

What are the chances of people living in hateful families and communities? Will they become good citizens? Will they perform well at work? Will they focus on development? Will they use their talents well? Will they vote well? Will they care about national unity, and development when they lack such unity and

development in their own families and communities? What is a good government going to do about this situation without seeming and sounding like a dictatorship or a communist regime?

Example1;

At school; there was this math teacher who would disappear at the end of every month after receiving his salary. He usually came back in the second week of the new month after finishing off all his salary. Guess on what! Beer! Now what can the government do to such a citizen? They are educated, they are mature, and they have a job, but somehow they also have a negative behavior that makes them reap nothing after sowing.

This flaw on a citizen level creates problems for the nation and somehow the opposition has

the nerve to blame the Government for everything going wrong in the nation.

Example 2;

You find a couple despite renting a small house around the corner, going out to give birth to 10 children. In most cases, this is due to their religious philosophy of 'go, give birth and fill the world'. Obviously, this couple has impoverished themselves by giving birth to children they're unable to care for. In the process, their personal flaw creates problems for the nation. Since at least out of the 10 kids; 2 will end up on the streets, 3 will become drug addicts, the other 3 will become thieves, and maybe the remaining will have a stable life.

In all these examples, citizens are creating problems intentionally the nation will have to deal with later. However the opposition does not see how citizens are in any way

responsible for anything that goes wrong in their lives.

The attitude opposition politicians have that everything going wrong in the country is caused by the government is wrong and misleading. Citizens know how much blame they deserve for their unfunctional relationships, low earnings, business failure, poor performance, etc. You can trace a big percentage of citizens' individual to their own natural limitations as opposed to high taxes, and unfavorable policies.

For this reason, opposition politicians have a duty to work with citizens and encourage them to be better human beings, better employees, better neighbors, better friends, better workers, better entrepreneurs, better investors, better parents, better children, better youth, better citizens.

The opposition should also keep in mind that, there's no problem a country can have that cannot be traced back to citizens. Because leaders come from citizens. That's why even if for a moment all government officials would magically become good leaders and the government would be good as well, there's no guarantee that society would become problem-free.

There'll still exist evil stepmothers who harass their stepchildren; there'll still exist arrogant people within communities who bully and look down on others; there'll still exist men who want to take the wife of their neighbor or women who wants to take the husband of their friend; there'll still exist people who want to steal the property of others; there'll still exist people who are abusive towards others; there'll still exist people who do the opposite of what others do. This is a fact the opposition has to

mature into and consider before hating on the government.

When citizens are made to believe that all their problems and suffering are caused by the government, they stop trying to find solutions for their individual problems, they stop being aware of their personal flaws and how limiting they are to their survival and success, they instead choose to join the opposition and fight the government. This is dangerous and unacceptable.

It's important to note that the character of the society determines the nature of its leadership. Timid societies can only be governed by bully leaders. Confident societies can only be governed by brave leaders. Literate societies can only be governed by intelligent leaders. Yet the opposition points a finger at the bad character of leaders or governments forgetting

that those leaders are made by the societies they were born and raised from.

The opposition tries hard to present politicians in power as if they are independent characters unaffected by their own society and environment. Yet politicians are influenced by the families they were born in, the communities they grew up in, the schools they graduated from and the role models their societies presented and still present.

Good or bad leaders inherit their behavior from the society they live in. This is why the opposition should not dare point a finger at politicians leaders or governments without passing on a piece of the blame to citizens. The opposition should not hoodwink citizens to detest the harvest they get from their leaders or governments without equally detesting the bad sowing they did in them in the first place.

The behavior of governments, leaders, or politicians is a direct reflection of the character of the society in which they exist. Therefore, the steps that might be taken towards improving governments, leaders, or politicians must first be taken to improve societies in which governments, leaders, or politicians are born and raised.

Whatever the government or politicians do reflects the subconscious characteristics of their society. Despite the perpetual urge by the opposition to make citizens blame someone else for the nightmares haunting them, citizens must rather take a bold stand and admit that what they see in leaders, governments, or politicians is a reflection of their character, and if somebody's got to change, citizens must take the lead.

Show me a good leader and I'll show you the good society they come from; show me a corrupt leader and I'll show you the corrupt society they come from; show me a wise leader and I'll show you the enlightened society they come from. The character of leaders, the government, or politicians is to a large extent what stands right in front when the citizens look in the mirror.

When the society is upright, it is almost certain it'll have good leaders. Good societies create conducive environments in which leaders grow up with healthy mindsets and ambitions big enough to include others. Good societies sow in their children values of courage and high performance and later reap from those children good leaders who serve the nation unreservedly. A fact the opposition knowingly or unknowingly ignores.

When leaders are not good, the societies they come from should be the first to take the blame. Therefore, in order to have good leaders, citizens must strive on their individual and family levels to create conducive environments in which good leaders will grow and emerge. If the opposition truly cares for the progress of the nation, it must also challenge families and citizens on their individual level to play their civil role in the republic.

Still, this is not trying to protect governments and leaders in power from necessary scrutiny and rebuking. But rather to ease the unbearable, unfair burden the opposition throws on them.

The opposition should make citizens find it wise and honorable to take responsibility for everything going wrong or right in their nation because to the same extent, citizens allow something to happen, they've participated in its

creation. Citizens should be reminded that they're not merely on the receiving end in politics, leadership, or governance but as well at the sending end. They send and at the same time, they are received. Simply put, citizens are active politicians, and make up an active branch of the government.

Thus the opposition must open its eyes to see the imperfections of communities and individual people irrespective of how good or bad the top leadership is. It should try to make citizens open their eyes to their personal mistakes and flaws, and how those mistakes affect the nation at large.

There is no way a mediocre society is going to give birth to great selfless leaders; there's no way a weak society is going to give birth to strong leaders; there's no way a violent society is going to give birth to kind peaceful leaders. The opposition should therefore admit the role

of citizens in everything going on in their lives and should encourage them to admit and deal with it long before the government intervenes.

In that partisan spirit, the opposition should hold citizens accountable as much as they do the government. Opposition politicians should not just challenge leaders to live up to their responsibilities and duties but also challenge citizens to live up to their civil duties as equal contributors to the republic.

As a result, the opposition should monitor and evaluate the performance of citizens as much as they do that of leaders and the government. If at any point the opposition realizes that citizens are behaving and acting in ways detrimental to their own well-being, opposition politicians must have the tenacity to point out such negative behavior and actions. They should challenge citizens to improve and become better every day.

Before questioning the government, opposition politicians should ask citizens questions like; what are you doing to preserve your health? What are you doing to educate yourself? What are you doing to raise your children well? What are you doing to maintain a safe environment for yourself, your children, and your community? What are you doing to create opportunities for yourself, and for others? Are you an asset or a liability in the republic? The opposition must not stop asking these questions until citizens actually begin to show desirable results.

This is a fruitful revolution, one that causes impactful change on the lowest level of the republic. All politicians, together with citizens can help bring about.

Flaw #5

Careless About National Security

“Anyone who compromises national security is a danger to the existence of the country”

The opposition rarely remembers their nations have external enemies who are always looking out for weaknesses through which they may attack and destroy the whole nation.

Small and developing nations are surrounded by big and developed nations who always want and wish to jeopardize them for their national interests. Citizens of those small developing nations are like sheep while those big developed nations are like wolves. The sheep may fight amongst each other for various reasons but should do so in ways that do not expose them to the surrounding wolves lest the sheep perish together.

The Opposition should as well go about their business but should do so in a way that does not expose citizens and the sovereignty of their nation to surrounding political predators.

The opposition should be mature enough and bold enough to join the rest of the citizens and the Government whenever needs be to fight off a common threat despite the differences.

There are times when the nation has to unite and make one single strong front against a common threat. Therefore, the Opposition should know when to oppose and when not to oppose. I guess Politicians in existence today could even join the external enemy in unleashing hell on the nation just to acquire power.

For example, the Libyan Opposition (2011) joined forces with external forces and launched a war that has led to the destruction of property, displacement of citizens, and interrupted the progress of the nation.

Machiavelli is a great advocate of such Opposition behavior since he preaches that

strategy is credible as long as it leads one to power. But citizens should despise and hate the Opposition for such reckless behavior.

When faced with an external enemy all citizens irrespective of their political ideology, irrespective of their religious beliefs, irrespective of their contradicting opinions must unite and fight back at once and as one. Until all citizens have elevated themselves to this understanding, their nation shall always be at risk of being conquered and attacked by external threats.

In case the nation is attacked all citizens should forget who belongs to this or that religion, who has this or that political opinion, who is good or who is bad but instead redirect all their energy and attention towards the attacking enemy. If the attacking enemy puts you in the same box, you should as well not

separate yourselves and establish a united front when fighting back.

The opposition must be mature enough to understand the importance of a united front against a common threat. Otherwise, it is doomed to sabotage the sovereignty of the nation and ruin the well-being of citizens it claims to fight for.

A country's sovereignty is not something the United Nations organization gives to citizens, rather it's something every country works for and if need be fights for every day. Sovereignty has never been gifted to any people anywhere in the world. It takes tremendous effort and patriotism to achieve and maintain it.

The opposition on the other hand, due to their thirst for power, they don't care about national security as long as they can get what they want. They speak out loud things that can

jeopardize national security every day, they do things that can jeopardize national security every day.

By working with foreign institutions and governments behind the backs of their country, they open the gates of the nation. The very gates foreign enemies will pass through to harm and exploit the country. This behavior amounts to treason.

On the inside, within the nation, politicians from all sides can quarrel, they can fight, can disagree all the way but on the outside, they are all bound by the national code of sovereignty to appear as one team working for the sole interests of their country without compromise.

Any politician who does not abide by this code of conduct cannot be trusted and therefore must be slowed down, paused, or stopped according to the danger they pose.

A country's survival cannot be undermined even by democratic principles of freedom of speech, freedom of association, freedom of choice, etc. Survival has no room for error, nor room for mistake, no place for treason. The opposition better understands this sooner. Otherwise, they leave the government with no choice but to see them as a threat to national security.

Opposition politicians cannot run into the congress of other nations, calling them to invade their own country and overthrow the government in power. This is unacceptable. How dare you give up your nation's sovereignty that easily. If you want change in your country, let you do it, not foreign powers on your behalf.

How dare you run to foreign radio talk shows, and TV shows just to shame your own country, talk ill about your own country, and embarrass and insult your own country's people? This behavior gives confidence to all enemies of the country, encouraging them to go ahead with plans meant to uphold their own interests.

National security is an extremely sensitive matter, no politician should dare play with it, let none ever feel liberated to say things or do things that can expose a country's national security to danger. No politician or citizen has this right.

Opposition politicians who open the gates of the nation to foreign institutions, and foreign governments do so at the peril of the country, and other citizens. It is wrong to reveal secrets or sensitive information about your country to foreign institutions or governments. Being in the opposition does not give the opposition

politicians the right to side with enemies of the country or pave the way for threats against the country. This attitude is irrational and dangerous.

Every politician who is not fighting against national threats is encouraging them. If they are not fighting for their country, they've sided with the enemy. And therefore, they too are enemies within.

The enemy within is a matter all countries fear and pay attention to on a daily basis. You cannot blame the government for trying to protect the country first by dealing with the enemies of the country within the country. Eventually, they open the games of the nation to their foreign counterparts.

This is not endorsing the government to crack down on dissent, but rather to pay attention to people who publicly dare to expose their

nation, and citizens to danger for their ideology's sake, or benefit.

No ideology, no religious belief, no individual ambition, or group interests can be more important, and more urgent than the national security of a country. Period. Without national security, there won't be national sovereignty. Without sovereignty, there won't be a country. Period.

Flaw #6

Change-The-Regime Syndrome

They don't say '*support the regime*',
they say '*change the regime*'.

‘Support the regime’ and ‘change the regime’, both statements are powerful. They drive impactful results, good results for the former, and dangerous results for the latter.

If you look at your struggling friend and say ‘*Let me support you*’. The chances are that your friends will become more inspired to excel, and with your help, they’ll succeed. By helping them to correct mistakes, overcome weaknesses, use their strength better, and avert threats, your friend will definitely succeed.

This is what ‘support the regime’ can do for governments and countries. It would create unity and double the available strength, and opportunities on which countries can base to deal with threats and to exploit opportunities. On the other hand, if you look at your struggling friend and say ‘*Give up and go home*’, the chances of your friend succeeding

are close to none. First of all, you've added yourself to their long list of threats. Being their friend, and too close to them, you'll also be a part of their weakness. When this friend fails, believe it or not, you'll have contributed to their failure.

The same happens with nations and governments, when opposite politicians say 'change the regime' and work towards such a goal. Automatically, they become a threat and a weakness for the government. They become another front the government has to fight on besides the already existing fronts. Hence creating unnecessary tension within the country.

This mindset of the opposition, this ever-present desire to see the government fail, is a big internal threat to national security and development. You can't have insider people

constantly working against the efforts of the government and the flow of the country.

When you join politics for your own good and not that of the citizens you claim to represent, the first thing you call for is a regime change. Because all you want is to get a sit on the throne and be seen walking majestically in the palace of power wearing a long robe of authority. The same authority you want to use to advance your personal ambitions or those of entities you represent.

Changing the regime would be futile if the root causes of national problems available are not adequately studied and understood. This has happened all over the world, regimes change, and the country goes back to the same old routine. Such misinterpretations of what needs changing cause political instability in the country to the citizens' peril.

Members of the government can change, and new governments can take power but if the new members don't come with a new improved mindset, a new better way of doing things, a new effective way of performance, then the regime change will have been a waste of time and resources.

The opposition if serious about contributing to sustainable national development, must seek first to play a supporting role, refraining from all demoralizing and antagonistic tendencies against the government. Secondly, they must ask themselves, how can we constructively help these people to succeed? This is the behavior of a health opposition.

A health opposition refrains from all unproductive cynical, negative, competitive tendencies towards the government. They prioritize national development, national security, and citizens' well-being.

When something is lacking in the country, instead of using it as evidence of a failed government, they can suggest effective ways through which that thing can exist. Where possible they can just go ahead and do it themselves through community engagement.

If many things are going wrong in the country, wherever applicable the opposition can also as an alternative, and out of care try to make some of them right without having to fight with the government over it.

If the country is lacking 100 new schools, the opposition could rather go ahead and start building some of those schools instead of condemning the government. It is laughable for the opposition to accuse the government is not doing much when they are not doing anything at all.

If the opposition manages to raise money for their election campaigns, they sure can raise funds to build a school or two. If they manage to raise funds for protests and rallies, they sure can raise funds to build a hospital or two. The country needs tangible results from effective actions. Otherwise, they are just slowing the government and making things worse.

If the opposition says, that unemployment has risen 20% higher within a year, let them start companies, and factories, invest in businesses, and therefore create at least 1% more new jobs. It is either this approach or wasting time.

A dominant, result-less opposition cannot call upon or demand a regime change. It does not make any sense. What would the regime change do anyway? By doing nothing to actively solve national problems, they prove they won't be able to do much to solve them after replacing the regime.

Opposition politicians must give up the old fruitless, competitive approach of doing politics. Going against the government is no longer a sign of patriotism, accusing the government of failure is no longer a sign of bravery, and pointing out all the mistakes of the government is no longer a sign of intelligence. Rather, rolling up sleeves, going out into the field to communities, investing, and working beside others is the new sign of patriotism, bravery, intelligence, and patriotism.

Take the author of this book as an example, instead of saying government ministers are not writing books, he instead went ahead and wrote a book. Opposition politicians can do the same building new schools, new youth playgrounds, new factories, new businesses, new community roads, new solar power stations, etc.

Today's country needs politicians who behave like entrepreneurs, investors, and change-makers, not change-talkers. Not political radio talk-show celebrities but doers. Not protesters but builders. Not bystanders who think their job is to monitor what everybody else is doing, while they do nothing.

If the opposition is politics to play the game, then they are not needed, they are not welcome, they deserve no respect, and certainly no chance at the leadership table. If opposition politicians say they are better leaders, let them prove it by real examples, not words.

Flaw #7

Selling Out

“Weak politicians are used to
advance national threats”

In his book 'The Prince', Machiavelli tells conquerors that to acquire power they must use the weakness of others. If they go into a country, they should identify weak people, and use them against each other for their own benefit.

Sun Tzu almost says the same exact thing, that the best way to conquer your enemy is to use an indirect strategy. Instead of fighting directly with them, find a way to divide them, and have them fight each other. A good indirect strategy indeed. The opposition often falls here.

Opposition politicians are the weakest of all because most of them have wrong causes. If they are in it for money, what happens when foreign agents offer them money? If they are in it for power's sake, what happens if foreign agents offer them the opportunity through which they will acquire that power? If they are in it for revenge, what happens when foreign agents promise them support? They'll sell out.

Selling out refers to putting yourself in the control of others on the promise that they'll give you what you asked for. You could ask for one thing and get it, but those who bought you own you forever. They use you whenever they want to, and you can't say no. The people who can say no, are free people. After selling out, you give up that freedom.

This is how dangerous the opposition is and can be. By selling out, which they do often, they drown entire nations, and millions of citizens with them. Like that legend of the mighty 300 Spartan warriors, who had never lost a battle before, until one morning another Spartan citizen betrayed them by revealing to the Persian army a route around Spartan defenses. For the first time, all Spartan fighters perished that day. One traitor, that's all it took.

Often opposition politicians behave like spartan traitors. They constantly give away information that could compromise national security and development. They openly work with foreign agents; foreign governments, institutions, or

corporations. This can't be acceptable for any country that cherishes its sovereignty, security, and development.

Opposition politicians around the world have become so weak that they openly act against the national interests of their country. They openly collaborate with foreign agents, some of whom are known enemies of their own country. Such blind, reckless confidence is dangerous and must be dealt with openly.

No single individual or a group of people in any country has the right to sell out their country, or their fellow citizens for whatsoever reason. It is undoubtedly treason.

The following are among several things opposition politicians do against their nation's interests;

#1 Bad publicity

A country needs to maintain a good reputation just as much as any top corporation. Among other things, corporations require their employees to sign

nondisclosure agreements. Basically, their employees are not allowed to speak publicly against their corporations. This is important to them because like one billionaire once said, *'You can lose tons of money, and I'll forgive you' 'but if you lose a shred of my company's reputation, I'll fire you'*.

A good reputation creates a sense of trust and belonging among stakeholders, without potential clients finding new partners, loyal clients stop coming. Such is the power of reputation to a business. What the public thinks about you affects your performance, sales, production, distribution, and profitability. No serious company ever takes its reputation for granted. It's another product they sell.

In that sense, it wouldn't be presumptuous to say that countries and governments also need to uphold and maintain a good reputation to ensure uninterrupted progress. Yet the opposition keeps damaging their country's reputation and that of the government repeatedly. Did you know that the number of tourists visiting a country and that of

investors to a great extent depend on the good reputation of the country?

Now, if opposition politicians make it their job to wake up every day speaking ill and negatively about their country and government, how much tourism revenues and investment funds will be lost as a result? How many jobs will be lost, and how many opportunities for growth? Yet at the same time, the same opposition will hold the microphone and speak out against rising numbers of unemployment, low development, etc. And this bad publicity becomes endless and cause severe damage to the country's development.

The negativity and cynicism of the opposition affect the development of the country. And they know this fact, they just choose to ignore it because apparently, they've their own personal ambitions in pursuit. This is how you know they've either sold out or can sell out at any moment.

Patriotic politicians can't do anything that can harm their country's development and citizens'

well-being. Anyone who does otherwise cannot be trusted.

#2 Vulgarly

Some opposition politicians like to use vulgar language in their discussions about the government. They feel free to insult government officials, shame them, embarrass them, and try by all means to ruin their reputation. These attacks on the personality of others are dangerous and detrimental to the peace and security of the victims.

Patriotic politicians must show respect and restraint towards their fellow politicians despite the differences in opinion. Failure to respect fellow politicians in language is proof that they've sold out, or might sell out.

#3 Under-the-table deals

Politicians who make secret deals behind the back of their country are traitors. In these deals, they trade their country's resources and sovereignty for

money or power. It is the treason of the highest order. Any opposition politician suspected of making such a treasonous deal should be investigated and brought to justice.

This is high-level corruption involving politicians and foreign entities. Foreign entities make these deals to promote their interests within the country or region, promote their foreign policies, and increase their foreign domination. Promoting foreign interests costs other countries their sovereignty, pace of development, and security. All this loss because some politician could not put a leash on their appetite. Totally unacceptable.

#4 Inciting violence

When the opposition is inciting violence, organising violent protests, encouraging citizens to burn down infrastructure, boycott work, and for the worst take up arms against their own country, it's a sign that they've sold out. It's proof that all they care about is power, and they won't stop destroying the country

until they've replaced the government. This open violent behavior, calling citizens to love destruction is dangerous to a country's security and development.

Keep in mind that when the country is burning from within, foreign entities that have for long sought to burn it themselves will donate more fuel to the instigators so that they can burn their own nation even more. This violent behavior of the opposition can't be tolerated.

In a nutshell, anyone who is not practicing health politics, which favors the development, well-being, security, and sovereignty of the country is a potential sellout. Nothing good comes out of such politicians.

About the Author



Gicaniro I is among Africa's prominent thinkers of the 21st century. He has authored 7 books so far. He's currently the founding CEO of Africa's #1 Online Business Magazine (Nipe Pesa Magazine), and other online business platforms. He has a first-class bachelor's degree in social work and administration, from Mount Kenya University.

Recent books by Gicaniro I:

1. The 5 Powers
2. Iki Gihugu Cyanjye

Online platforms:

Business Magazine: <https://nipepesa.com/>

Global online jobs: <https://yeweyewe.com/>